

# Simultaneous X-band and K-band study of precipitations

Joël Van Baelen<sup>1</sup>, Ludovic Brucker<sup>2</sup>, Gerhard Peters<sup>2</sup>, Bernd Fischer<sup>2</sup>, and Hans Muenster<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory for Meteorological Physics – Observatory for Globe Physics of Clermont-Ferrand<sup>1</sup>, France.

<sup>2</sup> Meteorological Institute – University Hamburg, Germany.

<sup>3</sup> Max-plank-institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany.

## 1 Introduction


One of the research areas of the “Laboratoire de Météorologie Physique” (LaMP) at the “Observatoire de Physique du Globe de Clermont-Ferrand” (OPGC) is the study of clouds and precipitations, and more particularly its microphysical processes including water and ice phases, both from the modeling and the observation aspects. In order to improve its observation capabilities of precipitations, LaMP/OPGC has entered an agreement with the “Max-Plank-Institute für Meteorologie” and the University of Hamburg to acquire a low cost high resolution X-band radar developed by the team of Gerhard Peters.

## 2 Analyzed data

In order to gain experience with such a system, we have been looking at data collected by the prototype X-band radar and a set of K-band micro-rain radars (MRR) deployed during the LAUNCH-2005 campaign which took place around Lindenberg Observatory during September – October 2005. The X-band radar used a fixed elevation of 11.2° for its 2.4° degree pencil beam and was operated with a spatial resolution of 60m in range and 2° in azimuth, and with a temporal resolution of 30s. The 13 MRR systems were aligned over a 6 km line at a distance comprised between 3.5 and 6 km from the X-band radar. The vertically looking MRR resolution was set to 20s and 100m. In this study, in step with the AQUARADAR investigations, we will consider the variability of precipitations with both systems, and then focus on the common volumes of the X-band radar and the various MRR’s in order to investigate the corresponding Z-R relationship.

## 3 Precipitation variability


Figure 1 illustrates the high temporal and spatial variability of precipitations as revealed by the X-band radar. Indeed, the four panels presented are successive 30 seconds reflectivity plots for 9 adjacent radar pixels (i.e., each 60 m in range and 2° wide, while the central pixel correspond to a common volume with one of the MRR system). The reflectivity range goes from 0 to 30 dBZ. Apparently, a narrow band of light precipitations passes quickly across the observed volume within this short time frame and shows about a 10 dBZ increase with regards to the background field at that time.



**Fig. 1:** X-band radar reflectivity panels (9 pixels) 30 seconds apart.

Correspondence to: Joël Van Baelen.  
 LaMP/OPGC, 24 avenue des Landais  
 63177 Aubière, France  
[j.vanbaelen@opgc.univ-bpclermont.fr](mailto:j.vanbaelen@opgc.univ-bpclermont.fr)

Likewise, the MRR systems are well adapted to demonstrate the high temporal variability of precipitations. In Figure 2 we show 12 successive reflectivity profiles 20 seconds apart obtained with such a radar. The bright band at about 1.5 km of altitude is clearly visible. The advancing “rain front” is also well documented with a maximum in the precipitation reflectivity profiles which descends in time before the rain start decreasing.




**Fig. 2:** Successive reflectivity profiles with a MRR 20 s. apart.

Figure 3 presents the evolution of a precipitation field across the line of MRR's. Each panel is a contour plot based on the MRR individual reflectivity profiles where the X-axis is the ground distance along the line of radars, and the Y-axis the altitude (0 to 2.1 km). One can clearly see the propagation of precipitation field along the different radars from right to left. Interestingly, one can also notice the “spots” associated with the bright band above each radar at about 1.5 km, as well as a “core” of higher precipitations which seems to “fall” between panels 2 and 3, indicating that the precipitation field has also a relative displacement in the direction perpendicular to present line of radars.

#### 4 X band ZR relationship determination

We will now focus on the common volumes observed with both the X-band radar and the various MRR systems. These volumes range from 3.5 to 6 km from the X-band radar, corresponding to altitudes of 680 to 1160 meters. The reflectivities from the X-band radar and a MRR for one of such common volume are shown in figure 4a for a period of 2 days, while a zoom for a single hour is presented in figure



**Fig. 3:** Evolution of the reflectivity along the line of MRR's.

4b. Obviously, the reflectivities from the two types of radars do correspond very well. In the lower panel, the slight differences can be attributed to the sampling strategies as well to slightly different volumes. Indeed, the geometrical dimensions of the two radar beams are different while their axis do not intersect exactly. Thus, while the “most common” volume” has been selected, there can be some vertical and horizontal separation of their respective centers. In any case, this gives us confidence in using such common volumes in order to determine the Z-R relationships to be applied to the X-band reflectivity field in order estimate the




Fig. 4: Reflectivities from the X-band radar and MRR ( $n^{\circ}7$ ) in their common volume during a) 2 days, b) 1 hour.




Fig. 5: Scheme of the determination of the Z-R relationships for the X-band radar based on the MRR estimates.

corresponding rain-rate. The procedure is described with figure 5: the MRR measured Z and estimated R are used to derive the Z-R relationship which is then applied to the X-band radar Z measurements in order to calculate a corresponding R which, in turn, is compared to the MRR derived value. This method, rather than using the MRR R with the X-band Z to derive the Z-R relationship, proved necessary to account for the differences in atmospheric volumes sensed with the different radars.

Furthermore, we have subdivided the studied period into different rain regimes characterized by rising, stagnating and decreasing intensities, respectively. This proved necessary given the observed difference of drop size distribution spectra between rising and decreasing rain as illustrated in figure 6 where rising rains have larger drops than decreasing rains. Figure 7 clearly demonstrates the benefits of using multiple Z-R relationships. On the left panel, the MRR original R values are compared to the X-band retrieved ones with a single Z-R function. On the right panel, the X-band retrieved R values are calculated with multiple Z-R functions associated with the different rain regimes. The corresponding mean rain rates are 11.08 mm/h for the MRR, and 8.41 mm/h and 11.65 mm/h for the X-band radar using a single or multiple Z-R relationships, respectively.





Fig. 6: DSD variability for rising (dark grey curve) and decreasing rain (light grey curve)



**Fig. 7:** Rain estimates  $R$  as a function of time derived from the MRR (light grey histogram) compared to the  $R$  estimates retrieved with the X-band radar (dark grey histogram) using a single Z-R relationship (left panel) or multiple Z-R relationships.

## 5 Conclusion and Perspectives

This is a very preliminary study which needs to be confirmed with much more data. However, it already indicates the possibility to perform good rain rate estimation with the prototype low cost X-band radar developed when adequate characterization of the various rain regimes is taken into account. The task at hand is not trivial given the wide range and variability of precipitations which can be encountered.

*Acknowledgements:* The authors want to thank the LAUNCH-2005 and the AQUARADAR scientific communities for welcoming the present study and authorizing the use of the campaign data.